

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/888,857	05/27/92	KWAK	Н	P53521
			FRAHM, E	EXAMINER .
ROBERT E. B	USHNELL	E1M1/0329	, -	

LEVY, BUSHNELL, ZITO & GRANDINETTI 1511 K ST., N.W. STE. 425

FRAHM, E EXAMINER				
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
2108	24			
DATE MAILED:				

WASHINGTON, DC 20005	DATE MAILED:
	03/29/95
Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in a	
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TO	RADEMARKS
ADVISOR	Y ACTION
☐ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	
a) is extended to run or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or a event however, will the statutory period for the response exp	is of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no pire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee h purposes of determining the period of extension and the cor	nder 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. ave been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the responding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR retened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).	_
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 3-17-2 to place the application in condition for allowance:	25 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed
1.  The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification	will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
<ul> <li>a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(t presented.</li> </ul>	o) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier
b. They raise new issues that would require further consider	deration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).	
<ul> <li>d.    — They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal.</li> </ul>	or form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
e.   They present additional claims without cancelling a co	presponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE	
NOTE:	
Newly proposed or amended claims wo the non-allowable claims.	ould be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling
3. Upon the filing an appeal; the proposed amendment will be as follows:	be entered  will not be entered and the status of the claims will
Claims allowed: 1-8 and 17-	38
Claims objected to:	
Claims rejected: 4-16	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection	on(s):
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been remaining objections and rejection dated 3-3-95, panels	
	ant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been ap	poroved by the examiner.
Other	Colors of the Section
•	136 (#11.5 cm)